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**Acronyms Used in this Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA</td>
<td>Americans with Disabilities Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>Advance Planning Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEQA</td>
<td>California Environmental Quality Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Expenditure Authorization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIR</td>
<td>Environmental Impact Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPA</td>
<td>National Environmental Policy Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEAR</td>
<td>Preliminary Environmental Assessment Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PID</td>
<td>Project Initiation Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSR-PR</td>
<td>Project Study Report - Project Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBN</td>
<td>Regional Bicycle Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTP</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCTA</td>
<td>Sonoma County Transportation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA</td>
<td>Steven Grover &amp; Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMART</td>
<td>Sonoma Marin Area Rail Transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRJC</td>
<td>Santa Rosa Junior College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOD</td>
<td>Transit-oriented Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMT</td>
<td>Vehicle Miles Traveled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>