MEETING OVERVIEW

The purpose of the third Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting was to present and discuss the Specific Plan’s draft circulation and land use plans.

The project team gave a presentation summarizing the input considered in the development of each plan. The team also presented the details of the proposed land use and circulation plans and a list of potential implementation actions. The group then engaged in a discussion of the materials presented. Committee members were asked to provide technical expertise on the information presented and consider whether the proposals were technically feasible.

A list of meeting attendees begins on page 4 of this summary.

MEETING OUTCOMES

TAC members provided input on the feasibility of the draft land use and circulation plans. The following main discussion points were noted by the group:

- **Meeting MTC targets.** Confirm that the limited changes to land use still meet the MTC targets for density and residential units.

- **Location of the Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit (SMART) path.** The most recent design for the SMART path is along the eastern side of the tracks, and the draft bicycle routes will need to account for this change.

- **Additional east–west connectivity.** Desire for more east–west connectivity in the project area.

- **Accommodating transit.** Ensure that the circulation plan can accommodate transit vehicles.

- **Leo Drive, Campbell Drive, Lazzini Avenue, and Burbank Avenue.** Consider alternate designs and alignments of these streets.
Implementation actions. Clarify to the community that some of the implementation actions require the acquisition of right-of-way.

The following section provides additional detail about each meeting discussion item, including a summary of all comments provided during the discussion.

DISCUSSION OF LAND USE

A discussion with the TAC followed the presentation of the land use plan to identify committee members’ concerns, issues, and questions. Individual comments are listed below.

- What do limited land use changes mean in terms of meeting the MTC targets?
- Why is there a space between the park sites near Roseland Creek?
- Isn’t the park near Bellevue open space? Why is it shown as medium residential?
- How does the plan allow for small-scale businesses? Are only shopping centers allowed in the striped areas?

DISCUSSION OF CIRCULATION

A discussion with the TAC followed the presentation of circulation plan to identify committee members’ concerns, issues, and questions regarding circulation. Individual comments are listed below.

General
- Show which streets are already part of an approved or pending project.
- What are the designations of the streets? Arterial, local, collector? One or two lanes?
- Was school pick-up/drop-off circulation considered?
- More east–west connectivity is desired.
- When do we look at street design?
- Is the plan consistent with the City’s bike plan?
- Consider bike needs versus bus lane width needs.
- Ensure travel lanes are at least 10 feet wide to accommodate buses.
Integration with Transit
- Does the plan integrate with the need to accommodate transit service? Are the street widths able to accommodate buses?
- Leo Drive extension.
- Can western alignment change to diffuse traffic?
- The eastern extension is too close to the SMART rail corridor. Perhaps convert to a park between the road and the corridor?

Campbell Drive & Stony Point Road
- Is signalization or other traffic control needed to mitigate traffic?
- Concerned about traffic signals located at Lazzini Avenue and Campbell Drive along Stony Point Road.

Trails
- Why are there gaps in the Roseland Creek Trail? Why doesn’t it continue farther northeast?
- Show Joe Rodota Trail on the street map (currently it looks like a street and isn’t labeled).
- Concerned about the potential two new crossings of the Joe Rodota Trail. Bike paths are supposed to create conflict-free spaces for pedestrians and cyclists, and this is already an area difficult to navigate.

Burbank Avenue
- What about on-street parking?
- Would bioswales provide adequate mitigation for tiger salamander habitat? Sidewalks have been a challenge on this street due to tiger salamanders.

DISCUSSION OF IMPLEMENTATION ACTIONS

A discussion with the TAC followed the presentation of potential implementation actions to identify committee members’ concerns, issues, and questions. Individual comments are listed below.

- Add bus stop amenities (e.g., benches) to the list of items.
- Clarify for community workshop participants that acquisition of right-of-way will be required for some sidewalks, bike lanes, etc. Identify what the tradeoffs are.
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