Each of these districts fits into the population range required. Each of these districts represents a neighborhood area that residents within each district can identify with. All these districts are contiguous.

Map 101C
Modify map 101 so that 2 districts touch the Square.

Map 102
No comments submitted yet.

Map 103
No comments submitted yet.

Map 104

My intent is as follows:

District 1 and 2 are Tubbs fire districts, Districts 6 and 7 are minority-majority districts, and District 3 is centered on downtown. District 5 and 6 represent the northwest of the city, where six is centered on the hispanic communities along the railway track, and five is made up of the remainder. District 4 represents the southeast corner of the city. Roseland is primarily within district 7.

Since district boundaries don’t have to exactly follow census blocks, I’d expect a certain amount of smoothing at the border between Districts 2 and 4 to bring the deviation down further and between 5 and 6 to better represent the intent of their division. There would also be some benefit to small shifts to the border between 4 and 7 to consolidate Roseland within 7.

My preference is the first set of elections go to District 7 – since it represents Roseland and is one of the minority majority districts – one of the Fire Districts (1 or 2), and whichever of the remaining three non-fire and non-majority-minority Districts is farthest from existing councilmembers.

Map 105
No comments submitted yet.

Map 106
No comments submitted yet.

Map 107
Two of the election districts I am proposing in this map became even greater communities of interest yesterday. As did the entire City. And though the residents lives were disrupted, their hearts were joined, and they became closer to all of us. For this exercise, with exploding demographics, and determinants rendered less than useful, I believe you have to reach deep into your imagination and beliefs to create a design which would bring a renewed Santa Rosa together.
This is what I imagine. Try to create districts which recognize and support the unique and authentic neighborhoods in our City, knowing that all of them will work together to share our common interests in the city’s core area. We all want our City to build a future for us that we can afford, that can respond to our needs, and that supports our participation.

Map 108

No comments submitted yet.

Map 109

No comments submitted yet.

Map 110

No comments submitted yet.

Map 111

My suggested approach, from top priorities to lesser priorities is as follows:

1) Devise District boundary areas wherein the people living in the areas share the same interests in terms of where they live, where their children go to school, and where families share the same parks, shopping centers and churches. DO NOT create district boundaries based on where existing Councilpersons live. In fact, avoid any discussion of this information.

2) First, create a downtown business district (see purple on map) that encompasses the current downtown area staying within the 23,724 to 26,222 people criteria. Do not split the downtown area up (the County of Sonoma has taken the approach of splitting up the City of Santa Rosa into several Supervisor Districts, which has not worked that well for City of Santa Rosa residents, in my opinion).

3) Then, create three district boundaries on the west side of the 101 Freeway and three on the east side of the 101 Freeway.

4) Then, deal with the problem of the 4 Councilpersons who’s terms will extend past November 2018:
   A Have the four Councilpersons draw numbers from a hat (one through four)

   B If any of the 4 Councilpersons are the only Councilperson living in a certain district boundary, then that district will be their district for the next two years and the people currently living in that district will not have a Councilperson election till 2020.

   C If more the one Councilperson ends up living in the same district boundary, the Councilperson with the lowest number (see A above) gets that district for the next two years and the other Councilpersons with higher numbers must choose an adjacent district ("adjacent" meaning District boundaries touch) to represent till 2020 (again, the lower number gets first choice). The people currently living in that district will not have a Councilperson election till 2020.

Good Luck,
Map 112
This map is contiguous and roughly divides the city center from 3 districts on the west side of 101 and 3 districts on the east. Districts 1 and 2 on the east are roughly bounded by Hwy 12.

Map 113
This map sites districts to share the commercial interests of the city at several locations, including downtown, and yet respects a neighborhood-centered approach. Natural and transit pathways are considered, as are achieving compact and contiguous districts.

Map 114
Seven districts. Each close to ideal population value. Tried to respect established neighborhood areas. Challenging. Needs a fine tuning. Thank you allowing residents to have input - and good luck.

Map 114B
Modify map 114B to remove the “tail” of District 7 that extends to the south.

Map 115
D1 Downtown and like neighborhoods
D2 W3rd W9th neighborhoods + heart of Roseland
D3 Rich old People
D4 East SRD5 North SRD6 South SRD7 West SR

Map 116
No comments submitted yet.

Map 117
No comments submitted yet.

Map 118
No comments submitted yet.

Map 119
No comments submitted yet.

Map 120
-Cause minimal disruption
We believe that this City Council has been a very effective and collaborative one. The last thing this community needs right now is multiple councilmembers being drawn into the same district and having to worry about politics when the City is facing such a monumental challenge recovering from the recent wildfires. We will have an opportunity in 2020 after the next census to deliberately start
from scratch; the best approach under these conditions is to ease into council districts. District elections have been put to the voters before and were roundly rejected, clearly indicating that the electorate does not desire a massive disruption. To achieve minimal disruption, current councilmembers’ residences should and must be taken into account when creating districts.

-Leave no citizen without representation

The goal of council districts is to give each community of interest a voice and create an incentive structure in which councilmembers are directly accountable and responsive to a specific community or neighborhood. If the result of 2018 redistricting leaves entire districts without a councilmember, then this effort has failed by definition. We believe that no district should be left “orphaned” after the 2018 elections. The only way to achieve this is to ensure that the 3 districts up for election in 2018 correspond with the councilmembers whose terms end in 2018.

-Have as many districts representing the downtown core as possible

Downtown Santa Rosa is at a critical time in its history. The recent Courthouse Square reunification and efforts to create a Downtown Action Organization require as many council districts as possible to have a direct stake in downtown’s future.

-Have Coffey Park and Fountaingrove in separate districts

With the recent devastation in Coffey Park and Fountaingrove we feel it would best serve both communities to be in separate districts. This allows one city council member to focus on each area individually to better support their recovery and rebuilding efforts.

Thank you for your consideration of our proposed map. We believe this map achieves the stated goals of district elections while avoiding massive disruption in this vital time for Council and City unity.

Map 121

Built around the Coalition for Sonoma County’s neighborhood map. Creates a Roseland district that is 60% Latino. Roseland is connected to South Park as suggested by Latino Dems letter. Coffey Park is separate from Fountaingrove as suggested by Coffey Strong letter. Uses major streets and freeways as dividers, or Santa Rosa and Brush creek as necessary. Keeps districts as compact as possible. Touches multiple districts to downtown. Connects Railroad Square to West End and Downtown. Where possible consistent with Community Advisory Board delineators. Does not take into account where current City officials live.

Map 121B

Modify map 121 so that 2 districts touch the Square.
Map 121C

Modify map 121B to also bring a part of downtown into the Roseland district.

Map 122

I took my time to make sure I tried to give a good representation of the community as a whole. Each pocket has different characteristics about the area that will hopefully give a colorful representation and uniqueness of that area. This may not be perfect, but hopefully it provides the ability for the current and future representative to represent their community with the upmost respect and pride.

Map 123

Attached is my suggestion for Santa Rosa districts. Some of my criteria were as follows:

Where possible, use major "dividers" as boundaries:

• Hwy 12,
• Hwy 101.
• Railroad tracks.

Keep neighborhoods with similar characteristics together

• Roseland
• Rincon Valley/Oakmont
• Bennett Valley/Montgomery Village
• Fountaingrove/Montecito
• Downtown/South Park*
• Westside**

*We felt that the near west side (Railroad Square, West End, etc. had more in common with downtown than with the far western neighborhoods, so in this case, the major "divider" of 101 was ignored, to tie these neighborhoods in with downtown and South Park)**To even out population, unfortunately, the northwest side (Coffey Park area) had to be attached to the Fountaingrove/Montecito district, even though their local concerns would be more tied to other westside districts.

The difference between the most populous district (Roseland: 25,956) and the least populous district (Lower Westside: 24,159) is 1,797, which is within the criteria set. That could be balanced by transferring one of the smaller Roseland census blocks (population 960 or 691), but that would be unfair to the residents of those areas, whose interests would definitely be more in line with Roseland than with the westside neighborhoods.

Thank you for your consideration.

Map 123

I think this map makes sense because it groups neighborhoods that share man characteristics (demographics and physical features).
Map 124

I think this map makes sense because it groups neighborhoods that share many characteristics (demographics and physical features); what I like most is that it keeps the downtown core and several historic districts together in one district (emphasizing the importance of historic preservation for at least one council member).

Map 125

I think this map makes sense because it groups neighborhoods that share many characteristics (demographics and physical features); this also keeps the nearby business districts and several historic districts together in one district (emphasizing the importance of business and historic preservation for at least one council member).

Map 126

I tried to finish the map before the deadline but it was very difficult to do so in the time frames given. I took my time to make sure I tried to give a good representation of the community as a whole. Each pocket has different characteristics about the area that will hopefully give a colorful representation and uniqueness of that area. This may not be perfect, but hopefully it provides the ability for the current and future representative to represent their community with the upmost respect and pride.

I tried to use the map online and it was not very user friendly. So I had to switch to paper which prolong the process for me. I hope this map would be consider by public and government officials.

Thanks.

Map 127

Highly compact map that follows major roads as much as possible; includes three districts essentially west of the 101; five districts touching 101 freeway; and five districts touching Sonoma Highway (State route 12). Unites both sides of 101 freeway south of Sonoma Highway. Unites the West End Neighborhood on both sides of 101.

Map 127C

Modify map 127 to unite the core of Coffee Park and have four districts touching the Square.

Map 127D

Modify map 127 to unite Coffey Park all the way to Hwy 101 in the east and Guernville Rd in the southeast.

Map 128

Population balanced and more compact version of map 120.

Map 129
I realize that you have numerous maps on your website for the public and yourselves to consider with regard to the adoption of District Elections in Santa Rosa. I would like to add one more map to the mix for your, and the public's, consideration. I personally consider the districts formed in this attached map quite interesting, which is why I am forwarding it for your possible interest.

**Map 130**

Attached please find our proposed map which is based on keeping communities of interest together. We also provide our proposed sequencing and will provide a letter discussing our rationale for the proposed sequencing prior to the meeting that will be held to discuss sequencing.

In submitting our proposed map we realize that the map is not perfect. For example, as much as we believe that economic interests should be considered we understand that there are approximately four mobile home parks in the same proposed district as the Fountaingrove neighborhood. However, it would be impossible to carve out each of those mobile home parks and make them into a separate district.

Similarly, we don't believe that the Apple Valley neighborhood shares the exact communities of interests as the Railroad Square neighborhood.

**Map 130B**

We have reviewed all of the district maps that have been proposed to date. We have discussed their relative merits with fellow citizens as well as members of the Coalition for a Better Sonoma County, the North Bay Labor Council, the Sonoma County Latino Democratic Club, and the Sonoma County Democratic Party. On the basis of these discussions and our own review, we support proposed Map 130b as an excellent compromise that honors the core criteria that the Council must consider under the law. It is population-balanced, equitable in terms of representation, and maintains the integrity of established neighborhoods. Its proposed districts are compact and contiguous, and sensitive to the natural geography of each area.

As Council members, you have reviewed many proposed maps and associated argumentation. Having listened to your deliberations, we believe that incumbency has been set aside as a consideration. We encourage you to continue on that course.

As you attempt to further narrow the range of choice, please consider that Map 130b responds to most of the riddles and challenges facing the City in providing equitable and effective representation:

- Roseland, Westside, Southwest, Southeast, and South Park share similar interests not only in their close geographical proximity but also social, economic and cultural interests. Together, however, they would make for a district with too much population. Map 130b honors their common interests while aligning them with other neighborhoods.

- Northwest (Coffey Park) and West Central (west of Stony Point Road) share common geographic, social and economic interests, and therefore form a natural community of interest.
• Downtown, Julliard Park, Memorial Hospital, and Montgomery Village have common social and economic interests, and Map 130b honors these. Map 130b honors the Junior College neighborhood effectively by keeping it intact.

• Alta Vista, Fountaingrove, Saint Francis, Skyhawk, and Rincon Valley are contiguous areas of the City, and share many social, economic, and land-use interests.

• Bennett Valley, Oakmont, the Fairgrounds area, and Annadel Heights also are contiguous and share many social, economic, and land-use interests.

• Map 130b puts Coffey Park and Fountaingrove in different districts, thus responding to the expressed desires of residents. While both areas were affected by the recent catastrophic fire and thus share in the effort to rebuild, over the long term they are not naturally one community of interest.

Thus, for all the reasons listed, Map 130b deserves your support as it clearly rises above other proposals before the Council and we urge its adoption.

Regarding the sequencing of elections once a map has been chosen, we believe that the Roseland neighborhood, newly empowered by the recent annexation, should be able to elect a representative in the 2018 election. The sequencing of other proposed districts should be done in a way that fairly represents and unifies the rest of the city.

Map 131

This map was inspired by NDC's 127B. It maintains the City Council's desire to split up the downtown core that they've designated and fixes a few of the mismatches in neighborhood types. This map keeps neighborhoods around the junior college together; continues to keep southwest Santa Rosa mostly in one district; allows for similar neighborhoods in south Santa Rosa to remain together while shifting for the changes made in District 4; lastly, it balances total populations by running deficits in the districts with disproportionately higher voting turnout while creating surpluses in districts were turnout is lower (percentage-wise).

Map 132

No comments submitted.

Map 133

- Roseland gets its own district (1)
- Districts cross highways and tracks to help with cohesiveness
- Downtown is split up as it belongs to all and doesn't need a concentration of votes.
- Four districts are compact (1, 2, 3, 5)
- Central district (6) is intentionally diverse