























For the King County study, when asked about requiring a diversion plan, most generators noted that they would be able to “live with” the requirement. Only one generator noted that they would oppose the policy. In general, generators agreed that most contractors are already preparing plans for their own purposes, and a requirement to prepare a plan would not be a large departure from their current operations. Most generators stated that any such requirement would require “teeth” and proper enforcement to be successful.

Generators interviewed for the King County study noted that they would support a ban on select C&D materials, and unanimously emphasized that recycling options for banned materials – including effective, local commingled processing – would need to be in place for a ban to be successfully implemented. The contractors noted that the most logical targets for a ban are materials for which adequate processing and recovery infrastructure exist locally.