



City of
Santa Rosa
California

**PUBLIC HEALTH GOALS
REPORT ON WATER QUALITY**

**CITY OF SANTA ROSA
PUBLIC DRINKING WATER UTILITY**

Public Water System ID# CA 4910009



JUNE 2019

**CITY OF SANTA ROSA
PUBLIC DRINKING WATER UTILITY
PUBLIC HEALTH GOALS REPORT ON WATER QUALITY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1: BACKGROUND INFORMATION..... 1

 What are Public Health Goals (PHGs)?1

 Water Quality Data Considered1

 Guidelines Followed.....1

 Best Available Treatment Technology and Cost Estimates2

SECTION 2: CONSTITUENTS DETECTED THAT EXCEED PHGS OR MCLGS.....2

 Coliform Bacteria2

 Benzene.....3

SECTION 3: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER ACTION 3

REFERENCES

ATTACHMENT 1: Excerpt from California Health & Safety Code Section 116470 ...4

ATTACHMENT 2: California MCLs & PHGs and Federal MCLGs6

ATTACHMENT 3: City of Santa Rosa Water Quality Reports 2016-20189

SECTION 1: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Provisions of the California Health and Safety Code (Attachment 1) specify that larger (>10,000 service connections) water utilities prepare a special report by July 1, 2019 if their water quality measurements have exceeded any Public Health Goals (PHGs). PHGs are non-enforceable goals established by the Cal-EPA's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). The law also requires that where OEHHA has not adopted a PHG for a constituent, the water suppliers are to use the Maximum Contaminant Level Goals (MCLGs) adopted by United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Only constituents which have a California primary drinking water standard and for which either a PHG or MCLG has been set are to be addressed. (Attachment 2 is a list of all regulated constituents with the MCLs and PHGs or MCLGs.)

There are a few constituents that are routinely detected in water systems at levels usually well below the drinking water standards for which no PHG nor MCLG has yet been adopted by OEHHA or USEPA including Total Trihalomethanes. These will be addressed in a future required report after a PHG has been adopted.

The law specifies what information is to be provided in the report. (See Attachment 1)

If a constituent was detected in water supply between 2016 and 2018 at a level exceeding an applicable PHG or MCLG, this report provides the information required by the law. Included is the numerical public health risk associated with the MCL and the PHG or MCLG, the category or type of risk to health that could be associated with each constituent, the best treatment technology available that could be used to reduce the constituent level, and an estimate of the cost to install that treatment if it is appropriate and feasible.

What Are Public Health Goals (PHGs)?

PHGs are set by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) which is part of Cal-EPA and are based solely on public health risk considerations. None of the practical risk-management factors that are considered by the USEPA or the California State Water Resources Control Board-Division of Drinking Water (DDW) in setting drinking water standards (MCLs) are considered in setting the PHGs. These factors include analytical detection capability, treatment technology available, benefits and costs. The PHGs are not enforceable and are not required to be met by any public water system. MCLGs are the federal equivalent to PHGs.

Water Quality Data Considered

All of the water quality data collected by our water system and provided by our wholesale supplier between 2016 and 2018 for purposes of determining compliance with drinking water standards was considered. This data was all summarized in our 2016, 2017, and 2018 Annual Water Quality Reports which are available to all of our customers by July of each year. (Attachment 3)

Guidelines Followed

The Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA) formed a workgroup which prepared guidelines for water utilities to use in preparing these newly required reports. The ACWA guidelines were used in the preparation of our report.

Best Available Treatment Technology and Cost Estimates

Both the USEPA and CDPH adopt what are known as BATs or Best Available Technologies which are the best-known methods of reducing contaminant levels to the MCL. Costs can be estimated for such technologies. However, since many PHGs and all MCLGs are set much lower than the MCL, it is not always possible nor feasible to determine what treatment is needed to further reduce a constituent downward to or near the PHG or MCLG, many of which are set at zero. Estimating the costs to reduce a constituent to zero is difficult, if not impossible, because it is not possible to verify by analytical means that the level has been lowered to zero. In some cases, installing treatment to try and further reduce very low levels of one constituent may have adverse effects on other aspects of water quality.

SECTION 2: CONSTITUENTS DETECTED THAT EXCEED PHGS OR MCLGS

The following is a discussion of the constituents that were detected in our drinking water system at levels above the PHG, or if no PHG, above the MCLG.

Coliform Bacteria

During the calendar years 2016 through 2018, the City of Santa Rosa Water Department was required to collect a minimum of 120 samples per month to meet the monitoring requirements for the Total Coliform Rule. The average samples collected per month is approximately 145. Occasionally, a sample was found to be positive for coliform bacteria, but re-samples were confirmed as negative and follow-up actions were taken. A summary of coliform positive results is indicated in Table 1.

TABLE 1

<i>Month</i>	<i>Number of Samples Collected</i>	<i>Number of Coliform Positive Results</i>	<i>Percent Positive</i>
<i>February 2016</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.70</i>
<i>October 2016</i>	<i>128</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.78</i>
<i>November 2016</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.66</i>
<i>December 2016</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.72</i>
<i>January 2017</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.70</i>
<i>February 2017</i>	<i>129</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.77</i>
<i>October 2017</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.71</i>
<i>December 2017</i>	<i>132</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.75</i>
<i>April 2018</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1.38</i>
<i>July 2018</i>	<i>149</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.67</i>
<i>August 2018</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.66</i>
<i>November 2018</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1.4</i>

The MCL for coliform is 5% positive samples (6 samples) of all samples per month and the MCLG is zero. The reason for the coliform drinking water standard is to minimize the possibility of the water containing pathogens which are organisms that cause waterborne disease. Because coliform is only a surrogate indicator of the potential presence of pathogens, it is not

possible to state a specific numerical health risk. While USEPA normally sets MCLGs “at a level where no known or anticipated adverse effects on persons would occur,” they indicate that they cannot do so with coliforms.

Coliform bacteria are an indicator organism that are ubiquitous in nature and are not generally considered harmful. They are used because of the ease in monitoring and analysis. If a positive sample is found, it indicates a potential problem that needs to be investigated and follow up sampling done. It is not at all unusual for a system to have an occasional positive sample. It is difficult, if not impossible, to assure that a system will never get a positive sample.

The chlorine residual levels are carefully controlled to provide the best health protection without causing the water to have undesirable taste and odor or increasing the disinfection byproduct level. This careful balance of treatment processes is essential to continue supplying our customers with safe drinking water.

Other equally important measures that we have implemented include: an effective cross-connection control program, maintenance of a disinfectant residual throughout our system, an effective monitoring and surveillance program and maintaining positive pressures in our distribution system. Our system has already taken all of the steps described by CDPH as “best available technology” for coliform bacteria in Section 64447, Title 22, California Code of Regulation (CCR).

BENZENE

The Tubbs Fire of October 2017 melted water pipes in Fountaingrove and contaminated a portion of the city’s water system in the Advisory Area with Benzene, a cancer causing chemical. The MCL for Benzene is 1 part per billion (ppb) and the public Health Goal for Benzene is .15 (ppb). Using the data collected, the City used a phased approach in replacing the physical water system components to all 352 properties in the Advisory Area, including 28 blow-off valves, 8 hydrants, and 3 sections of water main. These targeted repairs effectively removed the contamination and restored water quality to drinking water standards. Since lifting the Water Quality Advisory, testing of the water from all 65 hydrants and 3 sample stations in the Advisory Area has followed the following schedule.

- Once every two weeks for the first two months
- Once a month during months 3 through 6
- Once per quarter during months seven through twelve (currently doing)

All results from this current monitoring/flushing have been Non-Detect for Benzene.

Once the above monitoring/flushing schedule has been completed, sampling frequency will return to the normally required quarterly level from two sample stations in the Advisory Area.

SECTION 3: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER ACTION

The drinking water quality of the City of Santa Rosa Water Department meets all State of California, Division of Drinking Water and USEPA drinking water standards set to protect public health. To further reduce the level of the constituent identified in this report which is already significantly below the health-based Maximum Contaminant Levels established to provide “safe drinking water,” additional costly chlorine treatment would be required and likely cause significant taste and odor issues. The effectiveness of the treatment processes to provide any

significant reductions in constituent levels at these already low values is uncertain. The health protection benefits of these further hypothetical reductions are not at all clear and may not be quantifiable. Therefore, no action is proposed.

REFERENCES

Attachment 1	Excerpt from California Health & Safety Code: Section 116470
Attachment 2	Table of Regulated Constituents with MCLs, PHGs or MCLGs
Attachment 3	City of Santa Rosa Water Department's 2016, 2017 and 2018 Water Quality Reports

ATTACHMENT 1

Excerpt from California Health and Safety Code Section 116470

(b) On or before July 1, 1998, and every three years thereafter, public water systems serving more than 10,000 service connections that detect one or more contaminants in drinking water that exceed the applicable public health goal, shall prepare a brief written report in plain language that does all of the following:

- (1) Identifies each contaminant detected in drinking water that exceeds the applicable public health goal.
- (2) Discloses the numerical public health risk, determined by the office, associated with the maximum contaminant level for each contaminant identified in paragraph (1) and the numerical public health risk determined by the office associated with the public health goal for that contaminant.
- (3) Identifies the category of risk to public health, including, but not limited to, carcinogenic, mutagenic, teratogenic, and acute toxicity, associated with exposure to the contaminant in drinking water, and includes a brief plainly worded description of these terms.
- (4) Describes the best available technology, if any is then available on a commercial basis, to remove the contaminant or reduce the concentration of the contaminant. The public water system may, solely at its own discretion, briefly describe actions that have been taken on its own, or by other entities, to prevent the introduction of the contaminant into drinking water supplies.
- (5) Estimates the aggregate cost and the cost per customer of utilizing the technology described in paragraph (4), if any, to reduce the concentration of that contaminant in drinking water to a level at or below the public health goal.
- (6) Briefly describes what action, if any, the local water purveyor intends to take to reduce the concentration of the contaminant in public drinking water supplies and the basis for that decision.

(c) Public water systems required to prepare a report pursuant to subdivision (b) shall hold a public hearing for the purpose of accepting and responding to public comment on the report. Public water systems may hold the public hearing as part of any regularly scheduled meeting.

.....

(f) Pending adoption of a public health goal by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 116365, and in lieu thereof, public water systems shall use the national maximum contaminant level goal adopted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency for the corresponding contaminant for purposes of complying with the notice and hearing requirements of this section.

ATTACHMENT 2

2019 PHG Triennial Report: Calendar Years 2016-2017-2018

MCLs, DLRs, and PHGs for Regulated Drinking Water Contaminants (Units are in milligrams per liter (mg/L), unless otherwise noted.) Last Update: December 26, 2018

This table includes:

California's maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) Detection limits
for purposes of reporting (DLRs)

[Public health goals \(PHGs\) from the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment \(OEHHA\)](#)

Also, the PHG for NDMA (which is not yet regulated) is included at the bottom of this table.

Regulated Contaminant	MCL	DLR	PHG or (MCLG)	Date of PHG	
Chemicals with MCLs in 22 CCR §64431—Inorganic Chemicals					
Aluminum		1	0.05	0.6	2001
Antimony		0.006	0.006	0.001	2016
Arsenic		0.010	0.002	0.000004	2004
Asbestos (MFL = million fibers per liter; for fibers >10 microns long)		7 MFL	0.2 MFL	7 MFL	2003
Barium		1	0.1	2	2003
Beryllium		0.004	0.001	0.001	2003
Cadmium		0.005	0.001	0.00004	2006
Chromium, Total - OEHHA withdrew the 1999 0.0025 mg/L PHG in Nov 2001		0.05	0.01	withdrawn Nov. 2001	1999
Chromium, Hexavalent (Chromium-6) -MCL to be established - currently regulated under the total chromium MCL		--	--	0.00002	2011
Cyanide		0.15	0.1	0.15	1997
Fluoride		2	0.1	1	1997
Mercury (inorganic)		0.002	0.001	0.0012	1999 (rev2005)*
Nickel		0.1	0.01	0.012	2001
Nitrate (as NO ₃)		10 as N	0.4	45 as NO ₃ (=10 as N)	2018
Nitrite (as N)		1 as N	0.4	1 as N	2018
Nitrate + Nitrite		10 as N	--	10 as N	2018
Perchlorate		0.006	0.004	0.001	2015
Selenium		0.05	0.005	0.03	2010
Thallium		0.002	0.001	0.0001	1999 (rev2004)
Copper and Lead, 22 CCR §64672.3					

Values referred to as MCLs for lead and copper are not actually MCLs; instead, they are called "Action Levels" under the lead and copper rule

Copper	1.3	0.05	0.3	2008
Lead	0.015	0.005	0.0002	2009

Regulated Contaminant	MCL	DLR	PHG or (MCLG)	Date of PHG
Radionuclides with MCLs in 22 CCR §64441 and §64443—Radioactivity				
[units are picocuries per liter (pCi/L), unless otherwise stated; n/a = not applicable]				
Gross alpha particle activity - OEHHA concluded in 2003 that a PHG was not practical	15	3	none	n/a
Gross beta particle activity - OEHHA concluded in 2003 that a PHG was not practical	4 mrem/yr	4	none	n/a
Radium-226	--	1	0.05	2006
Radium-228	--	1	0.019	2006
Radium-226 + Radium-228	5	- -	-	--
Strontium-90	8	2	0.35	2006
Tritium	20,000	1,000	400	2006
Uranium	20	1	0.43	2001
Chemicals with MCLs in 22 CCR §64444—Organic Chemicals				
(a) Volatile Organic Chemicals (VOCs)				
Benzene	0.001	0.0005	0.00015	2001
Carbon tetrachloride	0.0005	0.0005	0.0001	2000
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.6	0.0005	0.6	1997 (rev2009)
1,4-Dichlorobenzene (p-DCB)	0.005	0.0005	0.006	1997
1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	0.005	0.0005	0.003	2003
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	0.0005	0.0005	0.0004	1999 (rev2005)
1,1-Dichloroethylene (1,1-DCE)	0.006	0.0005	0.01	1999
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.006	0.0005	0.013	2018
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.01	0.0005	0.05	2018
Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)	0.005	0.0005	0.004	2000
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.005	0.0005	0.0005	1999
1,3-Dichloropropene	0.0005	0.0005	0.0002	1999 (rev2006)
Ethylbenzene	0.3	0.0005	0.3	1997
Methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE)	0.013	0.003	0.013	1999
Monochlorobenzene	0.07	0.0005	0.7	2014
Styrene	0.1	0.0005	0.0005	2010
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.001	0.0005	0.0001	2003
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	0.005	0.0005	0.00006	2001
Toluene	0.15	0.0005	0.15	1999
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.005	0.0005	0.005	1999
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (1,1,1-TCA)	0.2	0.0005	1	2006

1,1,2-Trichloroethane (1,1,2-TCA)	0.005	0.0005	0.0003	2006
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	0.005	0.0005	0.0017	2009
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	0.15	0.005	1.3	2014
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroethane (Freon 113)	1.2	0.01	4	1997 (rev2011)
Vinyl chloride	0.0005	0.0005	0.00005	2000
Xylenes	1.75	0.0005	1.8	1997

Regulated Contaminant	MCL	DLR	PHG or (MCLG)	Date of PHG
Chemicals with MCLs in 22 CCR §6444—Organic Chemicals				
(b) Non-Volatile Synthetic Organic Chemicals (SOCs)				
Alachlor	0.002	0.001	0.004	1997
Atrazine	0.001	0.0005	0.00015	1999
Bentazon	0.018	0.002	0.2	1999 (rev2009)
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0002	0.0001	0.000007	2010
Carbofuran	0.018	0.005	0.0007	2016
Chlordane	0.0001	0.0001	0.00003	1997 (rev2006)
Dalapon	0.2	0.01	0.79	1997 (rev2009)
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)	0.0002	0.00001	0.0000017	1999
2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D)	0.07	0.01	0.02	2009
Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	0.4	0.005	0.2	2003
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP)	0.004	0.003	0.012	1997
Dinoseb	0.007	0.002	0.014	1997 (rev2010)
Diquat	0.02	0.004	0.006	2016
Endrin	0.002	0.0001	0.0003	2016
Endothal	0.1	0.045	0.094	2014
Ethylene dibromide (EDB)	0.00005	0.00002	0.00001	2003
Glyphosate	0.7	0.025	0.9	2007
Heptachlor	0.00001	0.00001	0.000008	1999
Heptachlor epoxide	0.00001	0.00001	0.000006	1999
Hexachlorobenzene	0.001	0.0005	0.00003	2003
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.05	0.001	0.02	2014
Lindane	0.0002	0.0002	0.000032	1999 (rev2005)
Methoxychlor	0.03	0.01	0.00009	2010
Molinate	0.02	0.002	0.001	2008
Oxamyl	0.05	0.02	0.026	2009
Pentachlorophenol	0.001	0.0002	0.0003	2009
Picloram	0.5	0.001	0.166	2016
Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)	0.0005	0.0005	0.00009	2007
Simazine	0.004	0.001	0.004	2001
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	0.05	0.001	0.003	2014
2,3,7,8-TCDD (dioxin)	3x10 ⁻⁸	5x10 ⁻⁹	5x10 ⁻¹¹	2010
Thiobencarb	0.07	0.001	0.042	2016
Toxaphene	0.003	0.001	0.00003	2003

1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.000005	0.000005	0.0000007	2009
Regulated Contaminant	MCL	DLR	PHG or (MCLG)	Date of PHG
<i>Chemicals with MCLs in 22 CCR §64533—Disinfection Byproducts</i>				
Total Trihalomethanes	0.080	--	--	--
Bromodichloromethane	--	0.0010	0.00006	2018 draft
Bromoform	--	0.0010	0.0005	2018 draft
Chloroform	--	0.0010	0.0001	2018 draft
Dibromochloromethane	--	0.0010	0.0001	2018 draft
Haloacetic Acids (five) (HAA5)	0.060	--	--	--
Monochloroacetic Acid	--	0.0020	(0.07)	--
Dichloroacetic Acid	--	0.0010	(zero)	--
Trichloroacetic Acid	--	0.0010	(0.02)	--
Monobromoacetic Acid	--	0.0010	--	--
Dibromoacetic Acid	--	0.0010	--	--
Bromate	0.010	0.0050 or 0.0010a	0.0001	2009
Chlorite	1.0	0.020	0.05	2009
<i>Chemicals with PHGs established in response to DDW requests. These are not currently regulated drinking water contaminants.</i>				
N-Nitrosodimethylamine (NOMA)	--	--	0.000003	2006

*OEHHA's review of this chemical during the year indicated (rev20XX) resulted in no change in the PHG.

**The DLR for Bromate is 0.0010 mg/L for analysis performing EPA Method 317.0 Revision 2.0, 321.8, or 326.0

ATTACHMENT 3

2016 City of Santa Rosa Water Quality Report (CONNECTIONS NEWSLETTER)

<https://srcity.org/ArchiveCenter/ViewFile/Item/4026>

2017 City of Santa Rosa Water Quality Report (CONNECTIONS NEWSLETTER)

<https://srcity.org/ArchiveCenter/ViewFile/Item/4197>

2018 City of Santa Rosa Water Quality Report (CONNECTIONS NEWSLETTER)

<https://srcity.org/DocumentCenter/View/24378/2018-Water-Quality-Report>